







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/779,113	02/07/2001	Yohan R. Jayaratne	R119-E	5692	
759	90 03/13/2003			•	
Natan Epstein, Esq. Law Offices of Natan Epstein Trident Center 9th Floor 11377 West Olympic Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90064-1683			EXAMINER		
			FRANKLIN, JAMARA ALZAIDA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
5 ,			2876	2876	
			DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/779,113	JAYARATNE, YOHAN R.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jamara A. Franklin	2876				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replaced in the second of the s	136(a). In no event, however, may a soly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC (e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The section is FINAL .	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the applicatio	n					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 13-23 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) $igotimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 February 2001</u> is/ar	re: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ ol	pjected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documen 	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language pr 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/779,113

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 15, 19, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. What exactly is a "DeLand enabled scanner/card reader"? Is "Deland" a trademark?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-7, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldman (US 4,568,936) in view of Indeck et al. (US 5,546,462) (hereinafter referred to as 'Indeck').

Goldman teaches a card C carrying print 16 indicating the name of the assigned card holder along with a photographic likeness 17, and a magnetic stripe 18 onto which is recorded

₹.

ŀ

uniqueness characteristic of the card C from data locations D1, D2, D3, and D4 and statistical data SD representing the birthdate of the assigned card holder (col. 3, lines 14-18; col. 4, lines 4-10; and col. 5, lines 18-60).

Goldman lacks the teaching of the second reference data element representative of a biometric aspect of the card holder and the teaching of the digital data storage medium being a magnetic stripe.

Indeck teaches the use of a secondary security check in the form of a human fingerprint (col. 8, lines 30-35). Also taught is the recording of the magnetic "fingerprint" at a specific region 40 of a thin film magnetic medium 42 (col. 9, lines 52-57).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized that encoding the uniqueness of the magnetic stripe itself onto the magnetic stripe would have been advantageous since, in this manner, the uniqueness characteristic does not have to be based upon some other region of the card and can therefore minimize the steps needed for the security process.

Furthermore, utilizing a biometric aspect to be stored on the magnetic stripe would have been beneficial for providing unique and distinctive identification of the card holder. Therefore, it would have been obvious, at the time the invention was made, to modify the teachings of Goldman with the aforementioned teaching of Indeck.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 8, 9, and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2876

30

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest either alone or in combination thereof, a method for verifying the authenticity of a mag-stripe card and verifying the identity of the card user presenting the card at a transaction site, the mag-stripe card having a card surface with visually readable indicia indicative of an authorized user of the card and an electronically readable digital data storage magnetic stripe permanently affixed to the card, the method comprising the steps of: deriving a third reference data element representative of a scanned image of the visually readable indicia. Furthermore, no motivation is found to combine any of the references of record to arrive at the claimed invention.

- 7. Claims 13-23 are allowed.
- 8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest either alone or in combination thereof, a method for verifying the authenticity of a mag-stripe card and verifying the identity of the card user presenting the card at a transaction site, the mag-stripe card having a card surface with visually readable indicia indicative of an authorized user of the card and an electronically readable digital data storage magnetic stripe permanently affixed to the card, the method comprising the steps of: deriving a third reference data element representative of a scanned image of the visually readable indicia. Furthermore, no motivation is found to combine any of the references of record to arrive at the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 2876

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Valerij et al. (US 5,790,662) teach a data carrier and write/read device therefor.

Moschner (US 4,094,462) teaches a method and means for providing and testing secure identification data.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamara A. Franklin whose telephone number is 703-305-0128. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Lee can be reached on (703) 305-3503. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7722 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/779,113

Art Unit: 2876

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Jamara A. Franklin Examiner Art Unit 2876

JAF March 9, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800